

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,644	04/23/2001	David de Andrade	004572.P005	6427
26263 7	590 11/29/2006		EXAMINER	
SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			SALTARELLI, DOMINIC D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080		2623	<u> </u>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/841,644	ANDRADE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dominic D. Saltarelli	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. EANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		. •			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	1 October 2006.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		pplication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not	received.			
	•				
Attachment(s)		1970 440:			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 11, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-9, 11-19, 21-28, 30-37, and 39-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Portuesi (5,774,666).

Regarding claims 1, 11, 21, 28, 30, 37, and 39, Portuesi discloses, in an interactive television (TV) environment, a method comprising recognizing elements in an unmodified broadcast data stream and prior to broadcasting,

Art Unit: 2623

automatically inserting an interactive TV trigger into the broadcast data stream based on the recognized elements (col. 3 line 66 – col. 4 line 17; col. 4 line 47 – col. 5 line 12; and col. 8 line 66 – col. 9 line 21).

Regarding claim 2, Portuesi discloses the method of claim 1, further comprising pre-inserting the interactive TV trigger into any stored content that will constitute the broadcast data stream (col. 8 line 66 – col. 9 line 21).

Regarding claims 3-6, 23-26, and 32-35, Portuesi discloses the method and system of claims 2, 21, and 30, wherein the elements includes voice, other audio, video, and text elements (col. 5, lines 5-12).

Regarding claims 7, 27, and 36, Portuesi discloses the methods and system of claims 2, 21, and 30, wherein the automatically inserting of the interactive TV trigger includes automatically inserting the interactive TV trigger into the broadcast data stream based on the recognized elements (col. 8 line 66 – col. 9 line 21).

Regarding claims 8 and 18, Portuesi discloses the method and system of claims 1 and 11, further comprising delivering the broadcast data stream with the inserted interactive TV trigger to one or more receivers for display (col. 5, lines 32-58 and col. 8, lines 53-65).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claims 9 and 19, Portuesi discloses the method and system of claims 1 and 18, wherein the automatically inserting of the interactive TV trigger includes automatically inserting the interactive TV trigger into the broadcast data stream within a receiver (col. 5, lines 32-58).

Regarding claim 12, Portuesi discloses the system of claim 11, further comprising a recognizing unit to recognize one or more elements in the broadcast data stream (an inherent feature that is necessary for the association of triggers with the data stream as proposed by Portuesi, as Portuesi teaches the URLs are associated with specific audio or video portions, see col. 4 line 63 — col. 5 line 12, and figs. 3-4).

Regarding claims 13-16, Portuesi discloses the system of claim 12, wherein the elements includes voice, other audio, video, and text elements (col. 5, lines 5-12).

Regarding claim 17, Portuesi discloses the system of claim 12, wherein the automatically inserting of the interactive TV trigger includes automatically inserting the interactive TV trigger into the broadcast data stream based on the recognized elements (col. 8 line 66 – col. 9 line 21).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding claims 22, 31, and 40, Portuesi discloses the method and system of claims 21, 30, and 39, further comprising passing the broadcast data stream to one or more receivers is the media asset does not match with an interactive element (all video elements are broadcast regardless of whether a trigger has been associated with them or not).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 10, 20, 29, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Portuesi.

Regarding claims 10, 20, 29, and 38, Portuesi discloses the methods and system of claims 1, 11, 28, and 37, but fails to discloses the interactive TV trigger includes an Advandced Television Enhancement Forum (ATVEF) trigger.

Examiner takes official notice that it is notoriously well known in the art to enhance television broadcasts using standardized ATVEF triggers.

It would have been obvious at the time to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify the method and system disclosed by Portuesi to include ATVEF triggers, for the benefit of using a standardized means of content enhancement

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/841,644

Art Unit: 2623

for broadcast programming (as Portuesi teaches the invention is not limited to merely URLs, col. 4, lines 10-18).

Conclusion

7. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Art Unit: 2623

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:	nited States Postal Service with
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	
on (Date)	·
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	-
Registration Number:	
Certificate of Transmission	
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted Trademark Office, Fax No. () on (Date)	to the United States Patent and
Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate:	
Signature:	-
Registration Number:	

Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/841,644

Art Unit: 2623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dominic D. Saltarelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-7302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DS

JOHN MILLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600